IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143 The Honorable Judge Ellis

Pretrial Conference: Apr. 9, 2021

Trial: Apr. 26, 2021

CONSENT MOTION TO SEAL AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF SAME

Pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E), defendant, Zackary Ellis Sanders, through counsel, moves for an order permitting the defense to file under seal portions of Mr. Sanders's Reply to the Government's Opposition to his Motion to Modify the Protective Order ("Reply"). A proposed order is attached for the consideration of the Court. The Government has previously consented to Mr. Sanders filing under seal material that is subject to the protective order or that it considers to be subject to the protective order. Mr. Sanders seeks sealing for the same reasons here. In support of this motion, the defense further states:

I. Item to be Filed Under Seal, and Necessity for Sealing

A. Mr. Sanders asks the Court to seal portions of his Reply, which pertain to and refer to material that the Government believes is under a protective order. *See* ECF No. 28. The defense

¹ The document to be filed under seal will be filed with the Court non-electronically pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E) and the Electronic Case Filing Policies and Procedures (*see* p. 21). Pursuant to the Local Rules, the sealed document is to be treated as sealed pending the outcome of this motion.

has endeavored to seal only the minimum necessary portion of its Reply and will file a redacted version on the public docket.

- B. Filing the Reply partially under seal is necessary because it contains discussion of material that is subject to the protective order or that the Government considers subject to the protective order. *See* ECF No. 28.
- C. Counsel for Mr. Sanders has considered procedures other than filing under seal and none will suffice to protect disclosure of this information that the Government considers subject to a protective order.

II. Previous Court Decisions Which Concern Sealing Documents

The Court has the inherent power to seal materials submitted to it. *See United States v. Wuagneux*, 683 F.2d 1343, 1351 (11th Cir. 1982); *State of Arizona v. Maypenny*, 672 F.2d 761, 765 (9th Cir. 1982); *Times Mirror Company v. United States*, 873 F.2d 1210 (9th Cir. 1989); *see also Shea v. Gabriel*, 520 F.2d 879 (1st Cir. 1975); *United States v. Hubbard*, 650 F.2d 293 (D.C. Cir. 1980); *In re Braughton*, 520 F.2d 765, 766 (9th Cir. 1975). "The trial court has supervisory power over its own records and may, in its discretion, seal documents if the public's right of access is outweighed by competing interests." *In re Knight Pub. Co.*, 743 F.2d 231, 235 (4th Cir. 1984).

III. Period of Time to Have the Document Under Seal

The materials to be filed under seal would need to remain sealed as long as the protective order remains in effect.

Accordingly, Mr. Sanders respectfully requests that this Court enter an order allowing the defense to file under seal portions of his Reply.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/</u>

Jonathan Jeffress (#42884)

Emily Voshell (#92997)

Jade Chong-Smith (admitted *pro hac vice*)

KaiserDillon PLLC

1099 Fourteenth St., N.W.; 8th Floor—West

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 683-6150 Facsimile: (202) 280-1034

Email: jjeffress@kaiserdillon.com Email: evoshell@kaiserdillon.com Email: jchong-smith@kaiserdillon.com

Counsel for Defendant Zackary Ellis Sanders

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 8th day of February 2021, the foregoing was served electronically on the counsel of record through the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia Electronic Document Filing System (ECF) and the document is available on the ECF system.

/s/ Jonathan Jeffress
Jonathan Jeffress

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UN	NITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ZACKARY ELLIS SANDERS,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:20-cr-00143 The Honorable Judge Ellis

Pretrial Conference: Apr. 9, 2021

Trial: Apr. 26, 2021

[PROPOSED] SEALING ORDER

This matter having come before the Court on the defendant's Consent Motion for Leave to File Under Seal pursuant to Local Criminal Rule 49(E). Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Motion for Leave to File Under Seal is granted.

It is so ORDERED.					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE					
Date:					
Alexandria, Virginia					